IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 4029 of 2005

Between:

R.Goverdhan Reddy S/o.Chandra Reddy
M/s Manju Wines, (L.NO.657/2001-02), D/o.4-9-174/6, Mulgi No RTC Colony, Hayathnagar, Saroornagar, R.R.District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of A.P.Revenue (Excise.II) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner, Prohibition and Excise, Hyderabad.
3 The Prohibition and Excise Superintendent, Ranga Reddy,. Ranga Reddy District.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent Government in issuing G.O.Ms.No.991, Revenue (Excise.II) Department, dt.4.12.2004 and the consequential G.O.ms.No.184 Revenue (Excise.UU) Department Dt.7.2.2005 as arbitrary, illegal, unjust, contrary to law, in violation of the fundamental rights guaranteed to petitioners herein under Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and also having the effect of being contrary tot he Directive Principles of State Policy apart from being contrary to the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Ecise Act 1968 and the A.P. Indian Liquor and Foreign Liquor Rules, 1970 and for consequential direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners herein for renewal of their IL-24-B Licenses in accordance with law and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner:K.RAJITHA

Counsel for Respondents.: GP FOR PROHIBITION & EXCISE

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

It is submitted by learned counsel for petitioner and admitted to by the learned Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise that the subject matter of this writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in W.A. No. 344, 345, 346 and 347 of 2005 dated 22-3-2005.

In the circumstances, following the said judgment this writ petition is disposed of by and in terms of the observations and directions made therein. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

25TH April, 2005

tvk

To

1 The Special Chief Secretary, Government of A.P.Revenue (Excise.II) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner, Prohibition and Excise, Hyderabad, Hyderabad district.
3 The Prohibition and Excise Superintendent, Ranga Reddy,. Ranga Reddy District.

4) 2 CD copies

5) 2 CCs to Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise, High Court of A.P. Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)